# **Analyzing Lu0Bot: A Node.js Malware with Near-Unlimited Capabilities**

khr0x, Jane September 26, 2023 **any.run**[/cybersecurity-blog/lu0bot-analysis/](https://any.run/cybersecurity-blog/lu0bot-analysis/)

[Home](https://any.run/cybersecurity-blog/)[Malware Analysis](https://any.run/cybersecurity-blog/category/malware-analysis/)

Analyzing Lu0Bot: A Node.js Malware with Near-Unlimited Capabilities In this article, we'll examine a Lu0Bot malware sample we stumbled upon while tracking malicious activity in [ANY.RUN's public tasks.](https://app.any.run/submissions/)

What caught our interest is that **the sample is written in Node.js**. While initially, it appeared to be a regular bot for DDOS attacks, things turned out to be a lot more complex.

**Node.js malware is intriguing because it targets a runtime environment commonly used in modern web applications.** The runtime's platform-agnostic nature depends on the specific code and libraries used, but it often allows for greater versatility. Typically, this kind of malware employs multi-layer obfuscation techniques using JavaScript. It combines traditional malware characteristics with web technologies, making it a unique challenge for detection and analysis.

Due to the extensive scope of the article, we've decided to split it into two parts:

- **Part 1: core analysis**: In the first part, we'll explore the malware's architecture and what's stored inside of it.
- **Part 2: traffic analysis**: In the second part, we'll dive into a real-world instance where the sample communicates with a C2 server.

# **What is Lu0Bot Malware?**

Before diving into the analysis, let's do a quick overview of Lu0Bot and talk about what makes it particularly interesting.

Lu0bot initially appeared in February 2021 as a second-stage payload in GCleaner attacks. Now, it serves as a bot, waiting for commands from a C2 server and sending encrypted basic system information back to that server.

It is worth noting that the bot's activity level remains relatively low, averaging 5-8 new samples on Bazaar each month. As of this writing, only one new sample was uploaded in August. However, it is possible that the real popularity of this malware is higher than the activity level shows, with many samples lying dormant and awaiting C2 commands — though, this is just a speculation on our part.

Regardless, even with this limited activity, Lu0bot is interesting as it demonstrates a creative approach to malware design — written in Node.js its capabilities are restricted only by what's possible in this programming language.

While we couldn't locate a live sample receiving commands — likely due to the bot's inability to find an IP address — a public sample did successfully connect. In this instance, the server responded with JavaScript code, initiated a new domain, and proceeded with encrypted code exchange. The decryption process is hard-coded within the bot — but we'll dive deeper into the decryption algorithm in part 2 of our analysis.

### **Static analysis of the source file**

Let's begin our breakdown of Lu0Bot by analyzing it statically.

*Link to the task:<https://app.any.run/tasks/4696b947-92f0-4413-95dc-644c45ca99a6>*

### **SHA256 FB808BE98B583A2004B0AF7B6F4BF5E3419D8B6A385C5CE4E8FAB4DDC0B48428**

The first thing we noticed is that the file uses an SFX packer (see Fig 1) — this is a self-extracting archive that can be opened with any archive utility.



SFX-packer

Inside the archive, there was a BAT file and several other contents (see the screenshot below). Let's break down what they do one by one:



The content of the BAT file

The first line contains a comment, but its meaning remains unclear—it wasn't referenced later in our analysis.

Next, multiple files are bundled into an EXE file, specifically a Node interpreter named **fjlpexyjauf.exe**.

On the third line, this interpreter receives a file containing bytes and a number (in place-holder terms, **%1%**, as seen in the screenshot above), but the real number in our case is **3991425476**. This number probably acts as an encryption key for the byte file.

### **2. Files eqnyiodbs.dat**

 $\mathbf{L}$ 

This one file is split into different byte blocks. These blocks are later combined to form the Node interpreter.

Contents of **eqnyiodbs** files

# **3. lknidtnqmg.dat file**

D

This file contains bytes encrypted in Base64. It is likely decrypted using the number provided as input.

Contents of the **lknidtnqmg.dat file**

### **4. gyvdcniwvlu.dat file**

 $\mathbf{L}$ 

This is a driver designed to let 32-bit programs on x64 systems convert key scan codes into Unicode characters. The main process relies on it, most likely to enable keylogging functionality.

# **Dynamic malware analysis of Lu0Bot in ANY.RUN**

Static analysis points to the EXE file and **lknidtnqmg.dat** as noteworthy. The next step is to examine dynamic behavior and attempt to either decrypt the bytes or find them decrypted in the process memory.

We'll use **ANY.RUN** interactive malware sandbox to perform the dynamic analysis.



### **Processes and activity**

The screenshot of the process tree above displays the process tree during sample execution. The main process initiates a familiar BAT file, which in turn launches the EXE file. Post-analysis verifies that this is a Node.js interpreter, accepting encrypted JS code as input.

Alongside attempting connections, the JS code fetches system data using WMIC. It specifically gathers information about processes and the execution location, aligning with **Tactic T1047**.



Dynamic analysis revealed that the interpreter gets copied to the startup folder. After a system restart, the connection to the domain continues (this is seen in the screenshot of the process tree above) — reference the process number 5252 (Tactic T1053.005). This ensures the bot remains operational post-restart.



# **Network and traffic**

A unique characteristic of this malware is its approach to domain connection. The domain is constructed from various parts, assembled into a single entity within the JS code:

**59c58bb 3 170 1693221099 118 0308a04a642894b53635018356690221232f .hsh.juz09.cfd**

### DNS-requests

Above is a small preview into Lu0Bot's traffic — we will break it down in more detail in Part 2 of our analysis.

Analyze Lu0Bot in ANY.RUN

[Get started](https://app.any.run/tasks/4696b947-92f0-4413-95dc-644c45ca99a6?utm_source=anyrunblog&utm_medium=article&utm_campaign=lu0bot&utm_content=task)

D

### **Technical analysis of Lu0Bot malware using a disassembler and debugger**

In our case, the dump — or script — is both packed and encrypted. To access the main JS code, we'll need to:

- Unpack the SFX archive
- Run a command to collect the Node.js file
- Launch **fjlpexyjauf.exe** in **x32dbg?**, entering the incoming data into the command line
- Get to the point where JS code execution starts
- Locate the code in memory and save a dump

### **Steps for unpacking and byte collection**

To unpack the archive, we can use any standard archiver tool. For byte collection, we will focus on the second line of the BAT script — let's execute it.

Byte collection

# **Extracting the dump**

D

Let's run the file in the debugger and write the input data to the command line.



We're looking for the spot where JS code execution kicks off, marked by the call to the **uv\_run** function. After this call, the program starts domain connection attempts and hangs indefinitely. Let's navigate to this function and search for the code. To make it easier, we can use syntax cues and variable attributes — like the word **ini()**, which is unique to JS syntax.

**uv\_run** function

 $\overline{\phantom{a}}$ 

JS code

Once we spot the decrypted code, let's head to Memory Map and save that section. This is what our dump should look like:

 $\mathbf{r}$ 



# **Analyzing the JS code**

The JavaScript code we are presented with is heavily obfuscated and unreadable:

This code is unreadable, but we can fix it

 $\mathbf{L}$ 

[We can transform the code into a readable form by removing extra bytes and using a JavaScript deobfuscator \(Here's a link to a handy script](https://lelinhtinh.github.io/de4js/) you can use). After the transformation, this is what the result should look like:

Result of code transformation

Note the following:

D

- 1. The code starts with an array containing encrypted strings.
- 2. Right after, the array undergoes manipulation, moving specific elements to the end.
- 3. Next, there's a function dedicated to decrypting the array strings. It first uses an alternative form of BASE64 (**T1132.002**), followed by URL encode-decode, and then applies RC4.

This function is called with two variables: the first is an element from the array, and the second is the RC4 key.

To simplify the task of parsing this code, we wrote a small script that decrypts these lines automatically. You can [download it from our GitHub.](https://github.com/anyrun/blog-scripts/blob/main/Extractors/Lu0Bot/decode_strings_Lu0Bot.py)

Running the script gives us the following before-and-after:

Before code deobfuscation

 $\Box$ 

 $\mathbf{r}$ 

After code deobfuscation

The decrypted lines reveal that portions of the domains are hard-coded into the sample (see Fig. 16). Following that, you'll find the section of the code responsible for assembling the domain:

Domain construction

# **Debugging the JavaScript code**

 $\mathbf{L}$ 

For debugging, we'll use Node.js along with its inspect-brk parameter (node.exe –inspect-brk \*obfuscate dump without garbage bytes\*). Let's place a breakpoint on the "**var**" keyword and observe the output generated by each line:

The first function, **ginf**, handles information gathering. It outputs an array with 15 elements, all of which are details about the system.

**ginf** function

 $\Box$ 



An array containing the output of the **ginf** function

The **hwco** function takes the 15-element array from the **ginf** function as input. The output is the tail-end portion of the domain, up to the dot. Analysis shows that this output is actually a hash of the collected system data.

**hwco** function

 $\mathbf{L}$ 



String output from the **hwco** function

Next, elements like the port, number, and the domain segment following the dot are extracted from the **acc** array and assigned to variables.

Extracting elements from the **acc** array

The variable **acc** is added with 3, **rns**, and **bt**. **Rns** is generated randomly, and **bt** represents the current time.

 $\mathbf{L}$ 

Part of domain: addition **acc**, 3, **rns**, **bt**

 $\mathbf{L}$ 



After that, a variable containing a random number is appended to the domain segment before the dot. The next line handles domain selection after the dot: if certain conditions are met, an alternative domain is chosen, if available.

Choise domain after the point

The full domain gets assembled and all required elements are packed into a JSON object:

{"gttk","59c58bb5327116933080087040012a04a641e14b536350088dba00221232f.hsh.juz09.cfd",18223,"59c58bb5″,"331c90″,1693308008704,nu ["win32″,"ia32″,32,"10.0.19044″,6386.265,3220688896,1396203520,4,"Intel(R) Core(TM) i5-6400 CPU @ 2.70GHz",3094,"PC","admin","C:\\Users\\admin\\Desktop\\node-v20.5.0-winx86″,"C:\\Users\\admin\\AppData\\Local\\Temp","20.5.0″],"0012a04a641e14b536350088dba00221232f"}

Let's summarize, then — what does our domain consist of?

 $\mathbf{D}$ 



The final function on the screen employs aes-128-cbc encryption. The output is a 435-element array, consisting of 1 byte, followed by a 16 byte IV, then 2 bytes, and finally the encrypted data (**Tactic T1573**).



We also discovered a key: **becfe83392d83ef8c743ea00711a25c8**, which aligned with all live tasks identified by our team.

Post-execution, the malware continuously attempts to locate an address for data transmission. When traffic successfully reaches the server, data exchange occurs, involving the C2 server sending JS code. More on this in Part 2 of our analysis.

# **How to Identify Lu0Bot**

```
SIGMA RULE:
```

```
title: Lu0Bot detect
status: experimental
description: Detects Lu0Bot activity
author: ANY.RUN
date: 2023/09/26
tags:
    - Lu0Bot
detection:
    parent_process:
        ParentImage|endswith: '\cmd.exe'
        CommandLine|re: '\/d \/c [A-z0-9]+\.bat \d+$'
    child_process:
        OriginalFileName: 'node.exe'
        CommandLine|re: '\.dat \d+$'
    condition: parent_process and child_process
YARA RULE:
rule Lu0Bot_detection {
   meta:
     description = "Detection of Lu0Bot"
     date = "2023-09-26"
     family = "Lu0Bot"
strings:
        $start\_code = /var \_{0x[a-f0-9]{4,6}}/$altBase64 = "'abcdefghijklmnopqrstuvwxyzABCDEFGHIJKLMNOPQRSTUVWXYZ0123456789+/='" ascii
       $domain = "var acc=" ascii
        $end_code = "}ini();" ascii
       $func = "qinf" asciicondition:
      all of them and #start_code >= 50
}
Writing Suricata rules for Lu0Bot
```
For effective Suricata network rules, content is key. DNS queries are a big part of all network protocol requests. Lu0bot, as mentioned earlier, doesn't offer much stable content in its DNS queries—mostly random bytes or hashes. But there's a small part of the domain name that includes a Unix-format timestamp. We'll use that for network detection.

To capture three bytes of this timestamp in the rule, we limited the rule's active timeframe. We pinpointed five periods tied to the initial bytes 169, 170, 171, 172, and 173 in the timestamp. This gave us five rules targeting the malware's activity within specific windows.



P

In real-world scenarios, some Lu0bot DNS requests lack hashes altogether, ending just at the timestamp. Because of this, the regular expression should account for both hashed and non-hashed query versions.

The regular expression below is part of the BOTNET [ANY.RUN] Lu0bot DNS Query M1 network rule. It reflects the variables obtained from our analysis and is tailored for timestamps starting with the number 169. Note that this rule will expire in November 2023, when the timestamp transitions to starting with 170.



Schematic representation of the regular expression.



# **Detecting Lu0bot in ANY RUN**

 $\Box$ 

We've already implemented Lu0bot detection in ANY.RUN — our service can automatically decrypt strings and C2 domains are now visible in our service.

These tasks show Lu0bot detection in ANY.RUN:

- <https://app.any.run/tasks/4696b947-92f0-4413-95dc-644c45ca99a6>
- <https://app.any.run/tasks/c068028b-ce61-46a7-b12d-aef39a033bdd>
- <https://app.any.run/tasks/4888f835-d2c3-4d89-9dc8-ac6cecf96409>

# **Wrapping up**

In this article, we delved into Lu0bot, a malware incorporating NODE JS and executable JS code. Based on our analysis, we arrive at these key conclusions:

1. All data is obfuscated. The code primarily focuses on gathering basic info and awaiting C2 commands.

- 2. The malware's functionality is constrained only by what its JS code can do.
- 3. The domain structure of the malware is unique.
- 4. Custom encryption methods are used for strings.

Given these factors, Lu0bot could pose significant risk if its campaign scales and the server start actively responding. Its unique implementation using NODE JS makes it a highly interesting subject for analysis.

Should the server become operational, the malware could potentially have capabilities like:

- Recording keystrokes
- Identity theft
- Near-total control of the victim's computer
- Functioning as a DDOS bot
- Conducting illegal activities using the compromised system

[If you found this article informative, make sure to also read our technical breakdown of XWorm, as well as an in-depth analysis of a new](https://any.run/cybersecurity-blog/analyzing-laplasclipper-malware/) LaplasClipper sample. And, of course, we will break down the traffic structure of Lu0bot in much greater detail in an upcoming Part 2 of this analysis — stay tuned.

#### **Appendix 1**

#### **MITRE**



### **Appendix 2: IOCs**



### **Dropped executable file**



#### **DNS requests**

- 59c58bb5317016932210991180008a04a642894b53635018356690221232f.hsh.juz09.cfd
- 59c58bb5317016932210991180108a04a642894b53635018356690221232f.hsh.juz09.cfd
- 59c58bb5317016932210991180208a04a642894b53635018356690221232f.hsh.juz09.cfd

59c58bb5317016932210991180209a04a642894b53635018356690221232f.hsh.juz09.cfd

### More submissions:

<https://app.any.run/tasks/4888f835-d2c3-4d89-9dc8-ac6cecf96409/>

<https://app.any.run/tasks/c068028b-ce61-46a7-b12d-aef39a033bdd/>

<https://app.any.run/tasks/e13d4388-8f32-4182-aff2-a85c89aeaa35>

**ANY.RUN** 

[malware analyst](https://any.run/cybersecurity-blog/lu0bot-analysis/)

khr0x

I'm 21 years old and I work as a malware analyst for more than a year. I like finding out what kind of malware got on my computer. In my spare time I do sports and play video games.

**ANY RUN writer** [and network traffic](https://any.run/) analyst

Jane

I'm ANY.RUN ambassador and a real network traffic numismatist. I also love penguins and tortoises. My motto is to do good and throw it into the sea.

#### [ANYRUN](https://any.run/cybersecurity-blog/tag/anyrun/) [malware](https://any.run/cybersecurity-blog/tag/malware/) [malware analysis](https://any.run/cybersecurity-blog/tag/malware-analysis/)

**khr0x** 

khr0x

Malware analyst at ANY.RUN

I'm 21 years old and I work as a malware analyst for more than a year. I like finding out what kind of malware got on my computer. In my spare time I do sports and play video games.

[View all posts](https://any.run/cybersecurity-blog/authors/khr0x)

**D**jane

Jane

Leading network traffic analysis expert at ANY.RUN

I'm ANY.RUN ambassador and a real network traffic numismatist. I also love penguins and tortoises. My motto is to do good and throw it into the sea.

What do you think about this post?

8 answers

- Awful
- Average
- Great

No votes so far! Be the first to rate this post.

0 comments