

# SUNBURST, TEARDROP and the NetSec New Normal

---

[research.checkpoint.com/2020/sunburst-teardrop-and-the-netsec-new-normal/](https://research.checkpoint.com/2020/sunburst-teardrop-and-the-netsec-new-normal/)

December 22, 2020



December 22, 2020

## Foreword

---

In December 2020, a large-scale cyberattack targeting many organizations – predominantly tech companies, mainly in the United States, but not only there – was discovered to have been going on for several months. The attack was of a degree of sophistication that led to a quick consensus of involvement by a foreign government, and was extraordinary in both the amount of care taken in crafting it and the exotic vector of entry; instead of the usual phishing or even exploitation, the attackers carried out an elaborate supply chain attack. In this post, we share a focused analysis of some choice features of the backdoor used (SUNBURST) and one of its payloads (TEARDROP), including an exhaustive deobfuscation of SUNBURST's hashes encoding strings and an analysis of TEARDROP's control flow and decryption method; and we share our perspective on what these findings say about the attack and the people behind it, as well as what bearing this attack has on the future of network security in general.

## Introduction

---

Here's a story you might have heard already: Mr. Exemplary CISO wakes up early one morning and goes to work as usual, a spring in his step and a bunch of one-time recovery passwords in his wallet that he never ever loses. He reaches the lobby, swipes his smart card which performs an Adi-Shamir-Level challenge-response scheme, and walks past reception where shoulder-surfers are shot on sight. He boots up his laptop, types the BIOS password which is three sentences from *Moby Dick*, presents his retina for scanning and waits patiently as the mail exchange server remotely verifies the integrity of his laptop down to the network card circuit design. A spear-phishing email reaches 40 of his colleagues, all of whom report the incident then delete the email without consciously registering the event. Somewhere on the third floor the signing certificate for a certain device driver expires, and the offending server spontaneously combusts, as per protocol. Just when he thinks life can't get any better, Mr. Exemplary CISO receives one of his favorite things in the world: A software update notification. The updated DLL is signed with the right certificate, its hash had never been seen before, it's almost identical byte-for-byte to the one sent last version, its sandbox run produces no suspicious behavior; and so the update is installed, and Mr. Exemplary CISO's organization is, how goes the parlance, "pwned", because the software supplier's production server was compromised — via social engineering, an unpatched 1-day vulnerability or the admin password being `password123`, pick your favorite — and so a sufficiently clever attacker could access that server and flawlessly arrange all the above.

There are so many ways that sufficiently clever attackers could make all our lives miserable, but usually don't, and this whole ordeal is a somber reminder of that. President of Microsoft, Brad Smith, put it this way: "This is not 'espionage as usual,' even in the digital age [...] this is not just an attack on specific targets, but on the trust and reliability of the world's critical infrastructure". We're not quite as eloquent and will just say that this isn't the Sony hack and it can't be dismissed with "don't click *update later*, don't click *enable macros*". To deflect future attacks of this sort, defenders will have to get technical, get creative, and be willing to make trade-offs that would have seemed wasteful and paranoid before. Somewhere, the author of your favorite banking Trojan just read this news, raised an eyebrow and said "hey, will someone run me a port scan on `notepad-plus-plus.org`". Even if every vendor of every popular piece of software does become hyper-vigilant now, we all can't get too complacent trusting in their hyper-vigilance. That's what we mean by the threat of a "NetSec New Normal": an unsettling step into a future of zero trust.

## **SUNBURST and the Art of Tactical Retreat**

---

Technical details of the SUNBURST backdoor are widely available now in greater abundance than you will ever require, which puts us at liberty to focus on one feature that interests us and perhaps hasn't been drilled into quite like the others: The backdoor's elaborate evasion scheme.

The evasions employed by SUNBURST are similar in concept to [sandbox evasions](#). Sandbox evasions are engineered to make sure that the malware doesn't run on virtual machines designed to detect malware; SUNBURST's evasions are engineered to make sure that the malware doesn't run on machines belonging to people who have thought of the word "malware" in the last thirty days. We've seen malware that includes blacklists of forensic tools, AV processes and such — but 1. Usually these blacklists were used to violently smother these processes instead of opting not to run the malware at all; and 2. None of them were half as comprehensive as this one. The list is an OCD-level of thorough and can be legitimately used as a resource for reverse engineers to be acquainted with new tools (ever heard of [pdfstreamdumper](#)? Well, you have now).

In-line with the overall theme of not wanting to be seen, this blacklist is not given in the form of an array of readable strings. Rather, the readable strings are replaced with [FNV-1a](#) hash values. This alone has been an occasional malware feature for years now (except the hipster-ish use of FNV-1a instead of SHA256, or even CRC32 checksums), but the feature that really stands out here is the dedication to maintaining an illusion of code legitimacy even when under direct review. The below code literally attempts to use a Jedi mind trick on the reader: "This is not the malware you are looking for, move along". The list of processes to blacklist is a "service list" belonging to the "Orion Improvement Business Layer", and these aren't hash values of process names associated with AV engines — they are "timestamps".

```
private static readonly OrionImprovementBusinessLayer.ServiceConfiguration[] svcList = new OrionImprovementBusinessLayer.ServiceConfiguration[]
{
    new OrionImprovementBusinessLayer.ServiceConfiguration
    {
        timeStamps = new ulong[]
        {
            5183687599225757871UL
        },
        Svc = new OrionImprovementBusinessLayer.ServiceConfiguration.Service[]
        {
            new OrionImprovementBusinessLayer.ServiceConfiguration.Service
            {
                timeStamp = 917638920165491138UL,
                started = true
            }
        }
    },
    new OrionImprovementBusinessLayer.ServiceConfiguration
    {
        timeStamps = new ulong[]
        {
            10063651499895178962UL
        },
        Svc = new OrionImprovementBusinessLayer.ServiceConfiguration.Service[]
        {
            new OrionImprovementBusinessLayer.ServiceConfiguration.Service
            {
                timeStamp = 16335643316870329598UL,
                started = true
            }
        }
    },
    new OrionImprovementBusinessLayer.ServiceConfiguration
    {
        timeStamps = new ulong[]
        {
            10501212300031893463UL,
            155978580751494388UL
        },
        Svc = new OrionImprovementBusinessLayer.ServiceConfiguration.Service[0]
    }
},
```

The authors weren't satisfied with just blacklisting processes and services. They also made sure to blacklist some device drivers and entire ranges of IP addresses (by translating the infected machine's IP to a domain name and including domain names in the blacklist), a feature that was used to [blacklist all internal Solarwinds domains](#). This teaches us that not

only the attackers decided to use Solarwinds as a Uber to get to their targets, they also learned in-detail the topology of Solarwinds' internal networks to evade the prying eyes of vigilant employees. In total, the list of hash-encoded strings embedded in SUNBURST is a paranoid manifesto of over 200 domains, providers and services that SUNBURST will just flatly refuse to deal with. [Mark Russinovich](#) put it tersely, saying that the attackers are “afraid of sysinternals“. Which goes to show, even the most advanced and persistent of attackers don't believe themselves to be invincible — they believe in being just invincible enough, and above all, in not tempting fate.

The full list of FNV-1a obfuscated strings included in SUNBURST is available in Addendum I.

## TEARDROP and Settling for the Ordinary

---

This attack was, no doubt, an incredible technical achievement on a large scale. Check Point Threatcloud telemetry shows over 250 organizations that were infected with the SolarWinds backdoor, half of which are in the United States. The attackers dotted their i's and crossed their t's: they made sure to follow Solarwinds' coding convention when pushing malicious code; they included a “logic bomb” in their initial payload to delay malicious activity a full two weeks from initial infection, and fool dynamic analysis; they limited their lateral movement to legitimate-seeming operations made with stolen, but valid, user credentials. For all these reasons, it's noteworthy that this Übermensch-tier attack was used to deploy TEARDROP, a merely human malware dropper.

At the time of discovery TEARDROP was a novel concoction: never-before-seen, possibly even tailor-made for this attack. It was only deployed against a select few targets. If you're eager to feel its bits and bytes, there's hashes courtesy of [Talos](#) and [Sophos](#), as well as [YARA rules by FireEye](#). TEARDROP runs in-memory but it does register a Windows service, which involves editing the registry.

TEARDROP's control flow is straightforward. One of the DLL exported functions, `Tk_CreateImageType`, is called during the service's execution. This function writes a JPEG image to the current directory, the name of which varies; [Symantec](#) reports having come across `upbeat_anxiety.jpg` and `festive_computer.jpg`, and FireEye has seen a `gracious_truth.jpg`. To the untrained eye, these might seem to have been named by a poet; but more likely the image name is randomly generated by concatenating two words from a hard-coded word list that's out there somewhere, on whatever machine was used to compile this piece of malware.

TEARDROP then performs decryption using a homebrew cipher and a hardcoded key of length `0x96`. The process is implemented using the following gem of disassembly:

```

.text:0000004218FE2460
.text:0000004218FE2460
.text:0000004218FE2460
.text:0000004218FE2460
.text:0000004218FE2460
.text:0000004218FE2460
.text:0000004218FE2460
.text:0000004218FE2460
.text:0000004218FE2460
.text:0000004218FE2460
.text:0000004218FE2460
.text:0000004218FE2460 57
.text:0000004218FE2461 56
.text:0000004218FE2462 53
.text:0000004218FE2463 48 81 EC A0 00 00 00
.text:0000004218FE246A 48 8D 35 BF 4B 00 00
.text:0000004218FE2471 41 88 CC FF FF FF
.text:0000004218FE2477 48 8B 07 3A 5D A0 D3 06
.text:0000004218FE2477 3A 6D
.text:0000004218FE2481 49 89 CA
.text:0000004218FE2484 48 89 E7
.text:0000004218FE2487 89 12 00 00 00
.text:0000004218FE248C F3 48 A5
.text:0000004218FE248F 85 D2
.text:0000004218FE2491 41 89 D3
.text:0000004218FE2494 8B 06
.text:0000004218FE2496 89 07
.text:0000004218FE2498 0F 87 05 F5 4B 00 00
.text:0000004218FE249F 66 89 47 04
.text:0000004218FE24A3 7E 39

; __int64 __fastcall decrypt_payload(_BYTE *buf, int size)
decrypt_payload proc near

    key_array= byte ptr -0B8h
    anonymous_0= dword ptr -28h
    anonymous_1= word ptr -24h

    push    rdi
    push    rsi
    push    rbx
    sub     rsp, 0A0h
    lea     rsi, key_array
    mov     r8d, 0FFFFFFCCh
    mov     rbx, 6D3A06D3A06D3A07h

    mov     r10, rcx
    mov     rdi, rsp
    mov     ecx, 12h
    rep    movsq

    test   edx, edx
    mov     r11d, edx
    mov     eax, [rsi]
    mov     [rdi], eax
    movzx  eax, word ptr cs:key_array+94h
    mov     [rdi+4], ax
    jle    short loc_4218FE24DE

```

```

.text:0000004218FE24A5
.text:0000004218FE24A5
.text:0000004218FE24A5 48 89 CB
.text:0000004218FE24A8 45 0F B6 4C 0A 30
.text:0000004218FE24AF 48 F7 E3
.text:0000004218FE24B1 48 89 CB
.text:0000004218FE24B4 44 89 CE
.text:0000004218FE24B7 48 C1 EA 06
.text:0000004218FE24BB 48 69 D2 96 00 00 00
.text:0000004218FE24C2 48 29 D8
.text:0000004218FE24C5 40 52 34 04
.text:0000004218FE24C9 89 F0
.text:0000004218FE24CB 41 31 C0
.text:0000004218FE24CE 45 88 04 0A
.text:0000004218FE24D2 48 83 C1 01
.text:0000004218FE24D6 45 89 CB
.text:0000004218FE24D9 41 39 CB
.text:0000004218FE24DC 7F C7

loc_4218FE24A5:
    mov     rax, rcx
    movzx  r9d, byte ptr [r10+rcx+30h]
    mul    rbx
    mov     rax, rcx
    mov     esi, r9d
    shr    rdx, 6
    imul  rdx, 96h ; '-'
    sub    rax, rdx
    xor    sil, [rsp+rax+0B8h+key_array]
    mov     eax, esi
    xor    r8d, eax
    mov     [r10+rcx], r8b
    add    rcx, 1
    mov     r8d, r9d
    cmp    r11d, ecx
    jg     short loc_4218FE24A5

```

At a high level, this reads like some sort of homebrew PRNG deciding which key byte to use each time, except the more you attempt to follow the actual process, the less sense it makes. Amazingly, when run dynamically, via some dark magic the generated key indexes simply map to 0, 1, 2, ..., 149, 0, 1, ... and so on; that's some new level of "pseudo" in "pseudo-random"! As it turns out, this isn't a PRNG — it's a compiler-optimized implementation of the modulo operation. Feast your eyes on its underlying reasoning, which is somewhat reminiscent of the Quake Fast Inverse Square Root Hack. If anything, this is mainly a testament to the power of dynamic analysis if we ever saw it. You weren't going to statically reverse-engineer that. (Alternatively, it is a testament to the power of hex-rays decompiler, which sees through it immediately).

Once the optimization is understood, the decryption code is equivalent to the following:

```
CTXT_START_OFFSET = 0x30
KEY_LENGTH = 0x96
PREV_CTXT_BYTE_INITIAL_DEFAULT = 0xcc
```

```
prev_ctxt_byte = PREV_CTXT_BYTE_INITIAL_DEFAULT
for i, ctxt_byte in enumerate(ciphertext[CTXT_START_OFFSET:]):
    ptxt_byte = ctxt_byte ^ (prev_ctxt_byte ^ key[i % KEY_LENGTH])
    plaintext[i] = ptxt_byte
    prev_ctxt_byte = ctxt_byte
```

So, the original encryption was a simple rotating XOR, followed by also XORing every ciphertext byte with the previous ciphertext byte. There's probably no purer distillation than this of "homebrew cipher thrown together in five minutes for a piece of malware". This is a perfectly good obfuscation scheme, mind you, but for the thousandth time, there is no reason for that extra XOR to be there. No one is randomly launching the Kasiski attack against in-memory binary blobs in hopes of encountering rotating XOR ciphertexts.

The decrypted payload has the following custom header format, which reads like the tl;dr of a proper PE header:

```
00000000 payload_header struc ; (sizeof=0xC4, mappedto_24)
00000000 AddressOfEntryPoint dd ?
00000004 ImageBase dq ?
0000000C SizeOfImage dd ?
00000010 import_directory_rva dd ?
00000014 import_directory_size dd ?
00000018 relocation_directory_rva dd ?
0000001C relocation_directory_size dd ?
00000020 num_of_sections dd ?
00000024 SectionHeaders section_struct 10 dup(?)
000000C4 payload_header ends
```

And here's a taste of the payload code itself. The first image shows the code of the decrypted BEACON payload found on TEARDROP while the second image shows the code of a known BEACON sample we picked randomly. We won't fault you for not being able to find the differences between this picture and that picture. Even the PE base address is the same.

```

sub_41605 proc near
var_48= qword ptr -48h

sub     rsp, 68h
call   cs:GetTickCount
mov     ecx, 26AAh
xor     edx, edx
mov     r9d, 5Ch ; '\'
div     ecx
lea     rcx, Buffer
mov     r8d, 5Ch ; '\'
mov     dword ptr [rsp+68h+var_48+30h], 5Ch ; '\'
mov     dword ptr [rsp+68h+var_48+28h], 65h ; 'e'
mov     dword ptr [rsp+68h+var_48+20h], 70h ; 'p'
mov     dword ptr [rsp+68h+var_48+18h], 69h ; 'i'
mov     dword ptr [rsp+68h+var_48+10h], 70h ; 'p'
mov     dword ptr [rsp+68h+var_48+8], 5Ch ; '\'
mov     dword ptr [rsp+68h+var_48], 2Eh ; '.'
mov     dword ptr [rsp+68h+var_48+38h], edx
lea     rdx, byte_86000
call   j_sprintf
lea     r8, sub_414F5
xor     ecx, ecx
mov     [rsp+68h+var_48+8], 0
mov     dword ptr [rsp+68h+var_48], 0
xor     r9d, r9d
xor     edx, edx
call   cs:CreateThread
xor     ecx, ecx
add     rsp, 68h
jmp     sub_415B2
sub_41605 endp

```

TEARDROP's BEACON payload

```
sub_68AC1605 proc near
dwCreationFlags= dword ptr -48h
lpThreadId= qword ptr -40h
var_38= dword ptr -38h
var_30= dword ptr -30h
var_28= dword ptr -28h
var_20= dword ptr -20h
var_18= dword ptr -18h
var_10= dword ptr -10h

sub     rsp, 68h
call   cs:GetTickCount
mov     ecx, 26AAh
xor     edx, edx
mov     r9d, 5Ch ; '\\'
div     ecx
lea     rcx, Buffer      ; Buffer
mov     r8d, 5Ch ; '\\'
mov     [rsp+68h+var_18], 5Ch ; '\\'
mov     [rsp+68h+var_20], 65h ; 'e'
mov     [rsp+68h+var_28], 70h ; 'p'
mov     [rsp+68h+var_30], 69h ; 'i'
mov     [rsp+68h+var_38], 70h ; 'p'
mov     dword ptr [rsp+68h+lpThreadId], 5Ch ; '\\'
mov     [rsp+68h+dwCreationFlags], 2Eh ; '.'
mov     [rsp+68h+var_10], edx
lea     rdx, Format      ; "%c%c%c%c%c%c%c%cMSSE-%d-server"
call   sprintf
lea     r8, StartAddress ; lpStartAddress
xor     ecx, ecx        ; lpThreadAttributes
mov     [rsp+68h+lpThreadId], 0 ; lpThreadId
mov     [rsp+68h+dwCreationFlags], 0 ; dwCreationFlags
xor     r9d, r9d        ; lpParameter
xor     edx, edx        ; dwStackSize
call   cs:CreateThread
xor     ecx, ecx
add     rsp, 68h
jmp     sub_68AC15B2
sub_68AC1605 endp
```

Cobalt Strike's BEACON

(sha256: 3cfbf519913d703a802423e6e3fb734abf8297971caccc7ae45df172196b6e84)

The way TEARDROP is built, it could have dropped anything; in this case, it dropped BEACON, a payload included with Cobalt Strike (a “penetration testing” tool based on the well-known Metasploit framework). According to the Cobalt Strike website, BEACON’s purpose is to model advanced attackers. It supports network lateral movement across a variety of protocols, “passive” and “active” modes for C2 check-in, and a configurable C2 communication scheme that can be made to imitate other malware or blend in with the target network’s legitimate traffic.



This really bears consideration. These attackers were riding on the tail of a network breach of almost unprecedented sophistication, and now they had to pick their weapon of choice for conducting lateral movement and data exfiltration. Armed with boundless ambition and abundant resources, they looked over their options and picked... Cobalt Strike? Even Dton, the Nigerian hustler who was [covered here earlier this year](#) and objectively ranks in the top 50 of least competent cybercriminals of all time, had an intuition that using well-known commodity malware will cost him in detection rates. We can't argue with success, and this decision clearly paid off for the attackers, but we're sure curious about the reasoning behind it. Possibly it was meant to make attribution harder, and we can't rule out the use of higher-tier payloads for higher-tier targets.

## Conclusion: Where to from here?

---

If we had to pick one actionable pithy phrase in the wake of this breach, it would be "Defense in Depth". It seems like a cliché that has been with us since forever ago, but it apparently [originates with a 2012 paper by the NSA](#), and the principle behind it is sound and relevant: don't spend all your energy building a single wall. There are no perfect walls, and someday, someone is going to get through to the other side. When configuring a component, imagine an ongoing attack that is within reach of it now — what will help secure the component? Or an attack that has compromised the component already — how best to pre-empt the attack from propagating further? A lot of principles and practices go into this; the [Principle of Least Privilege](#), to name one.

We're not Naïve: organizations want to Get Stuff Done, and the incentives they set effectively mandate a Principle of *Most* Privilege. Employees the world over are constantly demanding, "Just let me do this thing! Don't make me do something 'more secure' that's 4 times as complicated!". Even as we rush to zealously Secure Everything, these concerns should be taken seriously. We couldn't put it better than [Avi Douglan has](#): "how often does strict password complexity policy enforced by IT [...] result in the user writing down his password, and taping it to his screen? That is a direct result of focusing too much on the computer aspect, at the expense of the human aspect. [...] Security at the expense of usability comes at the expense of security."

Looking at the binaries for SUNBURST and TEARDROP, we've learned that even this wildly successful operation had its rough edges. Far from a worry-free power trip, the attackers were wary all the while of having their activity seen at all, never mind recognized for what it was; extensive blacklists of domains and processes had to be created to make sure of that. We've learned that even a campaign on this level will not consist purely of ingenuous rabbit-pulls, textbook solutions and tour-de-forces; even while pulling off an astounding network security coup like this, at some points an actor will say "eh, it'll do" and reach for the ole-reliable forgettable loader, rotating XOR encryption and used-to-death commodity tool. There's something comforting about that; the attackers won this round, but maybe the game in general is not so hopeless — if defenders step up.

For full technical details on our response to the SolarWinds attack click [here](#)

## **Addendum I: List of FNV-1a Obfuscated Strings Included in SUNBURST**

---

Processes:

2597124982561782591 = apimonitor-x64  
2600364143812063535 = apimonitor-x86  
13464308873961738403 = autopsy64  
4821863173800309721 = autopsy  
12969190449276002545 = autoruns64  
3320026265773918739 = autoruns  
12094027092655598256 = autorunsc64  
10657751674541025650 = autorunsc  
11913842725949116895 = binaryninja  
5449730069165757263 = blacklight  
292198192373389586 = cff explorer  
12790084614253405985 = cutter  
5219431737322569038 = de4dot  
15535773470978271326 = debugview  
7810436520414958497 = diskmon  
13316211011159594063 = dnsd  
13825071784440082496 = dnspy  
14480775929210717493 = dotpeek32  
14482658293117931546 = dotpeek64  
8473756179280619170 = dumpcap  
3778500091710709090 = evidence center  
8799118153397725683 = exeinfope  
12027963942392743532 = fakedns  
576626207276463000 = fakenet  
7412338704062093516 = ffdec  
682250828679635420 = fiddler  
13014156621614176974 = fileinsight  
18150909006539876521 = floss  
10336842116636872171 = gdb  
12785322942775634499 = hiew32demo  
13260224381505715848 = hiew32  
17956969551821596225 = hollows\_hunter  
8709004393777297355 = idaq64  
14256853800858727521 = idaq  
8129411991672431889 = idr  
15997665423159927228 = ildasm  
10829648878147112121 = ilspy  
9149947745824492274 = jd-gui  
3656637464651387014 = lordpe  
3575761800716667678 = officemalscanner  
4501656691368064027 = ollydbg  
10296494671777307979 = pdfstreamdumper  
14630721578341374856 = pe-bear  
4088976323439621041 = pebrowse64  
9531326785919727076 = peid  
6461429591783621719 = pe-sieve32  
6508141243778577344 = pe-sieve64  
10235971842993272939 = pestudio  
2478231962306073784 = peview  
9903758755917170407 = peview  
14710585101020280896 = ppee  
13611814135072561278 = procdump64  
2810460305047003196 = procdump  
2032008861530788751 = processhacker

27407921587843457 = procexp64  
6491986958834001955 = procexp  
2128122064571842954 = procmon  
10484659978517092504 = prodiscoverbasic  
8478833628889826985 = py2exedecompiler  
10463926208560207521 = r2agent  
7080175711202577138 = rabin2  
8697424601205169055 = radare2  
7775177810774851294 = ramcapture64  
16130138450758310172 = ramcapture  
506634811745884560 = reflector  
18294908219222222902 = regmon  
3588624367609827560 = resourcehacker  
9555688264681862794 = retdec-ar-extractor  
5415426428750045503 = retdec-bin2llvmir  
3642525650883269872 = retdec-bin2pat  
13135068273077306806 = retdec-config  
3769837838875367802 = retdec-fileinfo  
191060519014405309 = retdec-getsig  
1682585410644922036 = retdec-idr2pat  
7878537243757499832 = retdec-llvmir2hll  
13799353263187722717 = retdec-macho-extractor  
1367627386496056834 = retdec-pat2yara  
12574535824074203265 = retdec-stacofin  
16990567851129491937 = retdec-unpacker  
8994091295115840290 = retdec-yarac  
13876356431472225791 = rundotnetdll  
14968320160131875803 = sbiesvc  
14868920869169964081 = scdbg  
106672141413120087 = scylla\_x64  
79089792725215063 = scylla\_x86  
5614586596107908838 = shellcode\_launcher  
3869935012404164040 = solarwindsdiagnostics  
3538022140597504361 = sysmon64  
14111374107076822891 = sysmon64  
7982848972385914508 = task explorer  
8760312338504300643 = task explorer-x64  
17351543633914244545 = tcpdump  
7516148236133302073 = tcpvcon  
15114163911481793350 = tcpview  
15457732070353984570 = vboxservice  
16292685861617888592 = win32\_remote  
10374841591685794123 = win64\_remotex64  
3045986759481489935 = windbg  
17109238199226571972 = windump  
6827032273910657891 = winhex64  
5945487981219695001 = winhex  
8052533790968282297 = winobj  
17574002783607647274 = wireshark  
3341747963119755850 = x32dbg  
14193859431895170587 = x64dbg  
17439059603042731363 = xwforensics64  
17683972236092287897 = xwforensics  
700598796416086955 = redcloak  
3660705254426876796 = avgsvc

12709986806548166638 = avgui  
3890794756780010537 = avgsvca  
2797129108883749491 = avgidsagent  
3890769468012566366 = avgsvcx  
14095938998438966337 = avgwdsvcx  
11109294216876344399 = avgadminclientservice  
1368907909245890092 = afwserv  
11818825521849580123 = avastui  
8146185202538899243 = avastsvc  
2934149816356927366 = aswidsagent  
13029357933491444455 = aswidsagenta  
6195833633417633900 = aswengsrv  
2760663353550280147 = avastavwrapper  
16423314183614230717 = bccavsvc  
2532538262737333146 = psanhost  
4454255944391929578 = psuaservice  
6088115528707848728 = psuamain  
13611051401579634621 = avp  
18147627057830191163 = avpui  
17633734304611248415 = ksde  
13581776705111912829 = ksdeui  
7175363135479931834 = tanium  
3178468437029279937 = taniumclient  
13599785766252827703 = taniumdetectengine  
6180361713414290679 = taniumendpointindex  
8612208440357175863 = taniumtracecli  
8408095252303317471 = taniumtracewebsocketclient64

Services:

windows Defender:

5183687599225757871 = mspeng  
917638920165491138 = windefend

Windows Sense:

10063651499895178962 = mssense  
16335643316870329598 = sense

Windows Sensor:

10501212300031893463 = microsoft.tri.sensor  
155978580751494388 = microsoft.tri.sensor.updater

NIST:

17204844226884380288 = cavp

Carbon Black:

5984963105389676759 = cb  
11385275378891906608 = carbonblack  
13693525876560827283 = carbonblackk  
17849680105131524334 = cbcomms  
18246404330670877335 = cbstream

CrowdStrike:

8698326794961817906 = csfalconservice  
9061219083560670602 = csfalconcontainer  
11771945869106552231 = csagent  
9234894663364701749 = csdevicecontrol  
8698326794961817906 = csfalconservice

FireEye:

15695338751700748390 = xagt  
640589622539783622 = xagtnotif  
9384605490088500348 = fe\_avk

6274014997237900919 = fekern  
15092207615430402812 = feelam  
3320767229281015341 = fewscservice

ESET:

3200333496547938354 = ekrn  
14513577387099045298 = egiproxy  
607197993339007484 = egui  
15587050164583443069 = eamonm  
9559632696372799208 = eelam  
4931721628717906635 = ehdrv  
2589926981877829912 = ekrnepfw  
17997967489723066537 = epfwwfp  
14079676299181301772 = ekbdf1t  
17939405613729073960 = epfw

F-SECURE:

521157249538507889 = fsgk32st  
14971809093655817917 = fswebuid  
10545868833523019926 = fsgk32  
15039834196857999838 = fsma32  
14055243717250701608 = fssm32  
5587557070429522647 = fnrb32  
12445177985737237804 = fsaua  
17978774977754553159 = fsorsp  
17017923349298346219 = fsav32  
17624147599670377042 = f-secure gatekeeper handler starter  
16066651430762394116 = f-secure network request broker  
13655261125244647696 = f-secure webui daemon  
3421213182954201407 = fsma  
14243671177281069512 = fsorspclient  
16112751343173365533 = f-secure gatekeeper  
3425260965299690882 = f-secure hips  
9333057603143916814 = fsbts  
3413886037471417852 = fsni  
7315838824213522000 = fsvista  
13783346438774742614 = f-secure filter  
2380224015317016190 = f-secure recognizer  
3413052607651207697 = fses  
3407972863931386250 = fsfw  
10393903804869831898 = fsdfw  
3421197789791424393 = fsms  
541172992193764396 = fsdevcon

Drivers:

17097380490166623672 = cybkerneltracker.sys  
15194901817027173566 = atrsdfw.sys  
12718416789200275332 = eaw.sys  
18392881921099771407 = rvsavd.sys  
3626142665768487764 = dgdmk.sys  
12343334044036541897 = sentinelmonitor.sys  
397780960855462669 = hexisfsmonitor.sys  
6943102301517884811 = groundling32.sys  
13544031715334011032 = groundling64.sys  
11801746708619571308 = safe-agent.sys  
18159703063075866524 = crexecprev.sys  
835151375515278827 = psepfilter.sys  
16570804352575357627 = cve.sys

1614465773938842903 = brfilter.sys  
12679195163651834776 = brcow\_x\_x\_x\_x.sys  
2717025511528702475 = lragentmf.sys  
17984632978012874803 = libwamf.sys

domain names:

1109067043404435916 = swdev.local  
15267980678929160412 = swdev.dmz  
8381292265993977266 = lab.local  
3796405623695665524 = lab.na  
8727477769544302060 = emea.sales  
10734127004244879770 = cork.lab  
11073283311104541690 = dev.local  
4030236413975199654 = dmz.local  
7701683279824397773 = pci.local  
5132256620104998637 = saas.swi  
5942282052525294911 = lab.rio  
4578480846255629462 = lab.brno  
16858955978146406642 = apac.lab

HTTP:

8873858923435176895 = expect  
6116246686670134098 = content-type  
2734787258623754862 = accept  
6116246686670134098 = content-type  
7574774749059321801 = user-agent  
1475579823244607677 = 100-continue  
11266044540366291518 = connection  
9007106680104765185 = referer  
13852439084267373191 = keep-alive  
14226582801651130532 = close  
15514036435533858158 = if-modified-since  
16066522799090129502 = date