Why do I get errors or warnings about some weird symbol called ?main@@YAHP\$01E\$AAV? \$Array@PE\$AAVString@Platform..., part 3

devblogs.microsoft.com/oldnewthing/20250627-00/?p=111316

June 27, 2025



We have been investigating why a project is getting an error about a weird C++/CX symbol, and we tracked it down to three things:

- If you compile with C++/CX, the compiler injects vccorlib.lib as a default library.
- The vccorlib.lib library provides a definition of main.
- The linker <u>special rule for resolving references introduced by a library</u> causes the search for main to look in <u>vccorlib.lib</u> ahead of the fuzzer library that contains the main we want.

To get the linker to find the intended main, we need to take away one of the conditions.

For the first item, we could take away all the components that use C++/CX. But presumably they are there because we need to test them, so that's not an option.

Another possibility is to remove vccorlib.lib from the default library list. The library is still needed, but we can add it back as an explicit library.

link /out:fuzzer.exe /subsystem:console fuzzer.obj cx.obj lib.lib vccorlib.lib
/NODEFAULTLIB:vccorlib.lib

The avoids the problem with the special rule: The reference to main came from libcmt.lib, so the search proceeds through the rest of the default libs, and then wraps around back to the explicit libraries. In the list of explicit libraries, we have been careful to put lib.lib ahead of vccorlib.lib, so that the main in lib.lib gets found first.

For the second item, there's not much we can do because the vccorlib.lib is provided as part of the toolchain, so we are not at liberty to modify it.

For the third item, we can try to avoid the linker special rule by making sure that the reference to main does not come from a library in the first place. That ensures that the search starts with the first explicitly library rather than doing the weird wraparound thing.

One way to force it is to have another object file that contains an explicit reference to

```
rem new! An object file that requests main.
>forcemain.cpp echo int __cdecl main(int, char**); auto forcemain = main;
cl /c forcemain.cpp

rem Add it as the first object file.
link /out:fuzzer.exe /subsystem:console forcemain.obj fuzzer.obj cx.obj lib.lib
rem success!
```

The first reference to main comes from forcemain, which is not a library, so the special library search rule does not come into play.

I put forcemain.obj first to increase the likelihood that it will provide the first reference to main. If it came second, then maybe resolving a symbol from the first object file leads to a reference that is resolved by a library, and that in turn requests a reference to main, and now the special library search rule kicks in.

It may be difficult to ensure that forcemain.obj comes first. For example, some tooling might sort the object files alphabetically, or somebody might just decide to sort them alphabetically as part of just making things more tidy,¹ causing forcemain.obj to lose its special place at the front of the object list.

Therefore, I like to use the /INCLUDE trick.

```
link /out:fuzzer.exe /subsystem:console fuzzer.obj cx.obj lib.lib /INCLUDE:main
rem success!
```

The compiler team tells me that references injected via /INCLUDE get ushered to the front of the line, so they get resolved before any references that come from the object files themselves. In this case, it means that /INCLUDE:main ensures that main is resolved before any symbols from object files, thereby removing the dependency on the order of object files.

My colleague Martyn Lovell noted that even though you can cobble together something that works, he considers it generally a mistake to put your entry point in a library. Libraries generally carry the meaning of "Use this only if necessary," but in the case of the fuzzing library, their specific main function is mandatory, not a fallback. This is a problem I discussed earlier in the context of choosing between WinMain and WWinMain.

The entry point should be in an explicit object file that is added to the project, or (my preferred option) the library should provide its main function under a name like fuzzer_main which programs are expected to forward to.

```
// fuzzer.cpp
#include <fuzzerlibrary.h>
int __cdecl main(int argc, char** argv)
{
    return fuzzer_main(argc, argv);
}
bool fuzzer_callback(void* data, int length)
{
    [ ... ]
}
```

This also allows you to do things like choose between two fuzzers at runtime, or run multiple fuzzers from a single binary or run the same fuzzer twice.

```
// fuzzer.cpp
#include <fuzzerlibrary1.h>
#include <fuzzerlibrary2.h>
int __cdecl main(int argc, char** argv)
    // If run with no arguments, then provide
    // some defaults.
    if (argc == 1) {
        static char arg1[] = "default-argument1";
        static char arg2[] = "default-argument2";
        static char* args[] = { argv[0], arg1, arg2 };
        argc = 3;
        argv = args;
    }
    // Run it through both fuzzers
    int result = fuzzer1_main(argc, argv);
    if (result == 0) {
        result = fuzzer2_main(argc, argv);
    }
}
    return result;
}
bool fuzzer_callback(void* data, int length)
{
    \llbracket \dots \rrbracket
}
```

Now, for convenience, the fuzzer library could also provide the main function that we put into fuzzer.cpp. But even so, there should be a separate name (like fuzzer_main) that can be used to invoke it explicitly.

¹ For example, keeping lists in alphabetical or numeric order reduces the likelihood of bad merges.