The New York Times says I'm doing it all wrong, but maybe that's for the better

devblogs.microsoft.com/oldnewthing/20090701-00

July 1, 2009



Raymond Chen

Some time ago, *The New York Times* ran a story titled *In Web World of 24/7 Stress, Writers Blog Till They Drop*, which mentions that "those on the lower rungs of the business can earn as little as \$10 a post." Dude, if that's what people on the lower rungs earn, then I'm below ground level! (Nevermind that just the previous month, an article in *The New York Times* wrote about the business of blogging: <u>Don't expect to get rich</u>.) Then again, I probably shouldn't complain, seeing as what most people took away from the article was that <u>blogging</u> kills.

Slate's <u>Timothy Noah</u> noted in his article <u>Death by Blogging</u> that the <u>New York Times</u> article employs a magic phrase which, once it appears, is a signal to the reader that the subject matter of the story is completely made up:

Give Richtel credit for admitting high up in the story that what follows is purest fancy. Newspaper reporters call these caveat-rich passages "to-be-sure grafs."

The "to-be-sure" paragraph appears as paragraph number six:

<u>To be sure</u>, there is <u>no official diagnosis</u> of death by blogging, and the premature demise of two people <u>obviously does not</u> qualify as an epidemic. There is also <u>no certainty</u> that the stress of the work contributed to their deaths. <u>But</u>...

It opens with the magic phrase that says "What you are reading in the remainder of this article is complete fantasy," then adds a few more statements saying that "None of what this article says is true." And then, as if to say, "Let's not let facts get in the way of a good story," it immediately resumes the fabrication with a "But..."